



Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc.

2009 Family Centered Treatment® Outcomes Report

Prepared by:
Melonie B. Sullivan, PhD
Director of Research
FamiliFirst, Inc.

researchdir@familifirst.org

and

Kacy Mixon, M.S.
Research Assistant
FamiliFirst, Inc.

researchasst@familifirst.org



IFCS 2009 Family Centered Treatment Annual Outcomes Report

1. Introduction

In the calendar year 2009, Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc. provided intensive in-home services across 21 regions in 4 states. The flagship treatment model is Family Centered Treatment® (FCT®), and is provided by IFCS practitioners called Family Centered Specialists (FCS). FCT was provided to 48% of clients/families admitted into IFCS services during 2009.

This report documents the demographics of and service delivery to the 2449 clients/families discharged within January 1 through December 31, 2009. This report was developed by FamiliFirst. FamiliFirst is the owner of FCT, and the related training program, Wheels of Change©. FamiliFirst, Inc. licenses family preservation agencies that meet the stringent criteria necessary to provide Family Centered Treatment. When agencies are licensed as a provider of FCT, FamiliFirst provides the Wheels of Change online and field-based competency training program, fidelity oversight of the implementation of FCT®, and program evaluation related to FCT®.

In what follows, demographics and treatment outcomes are presented for all clients/families discharged from FCT. Because underutilization of services is the first barrier to successful treatment, separate analyses are presented for all families discharged from FCT, and for the subset of those families with whom success is achieved in the joining of FCS and family. This serves to disentangle unsuccessful treatment outcomes -- conditional on full participation in treatment -- from unsuccessful joining outcomes.

Table 1 presents the definitions of the variables used to describe client characteristics and treatment outcomes. Table 2 then presents overall client characteristics and treatment outcomes for those discharged from Family Centered Treatment, and Community Based Sex Offender Treatment, and Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment. The Sex Offender and Substance Abuse models are derivatives of FCT modified for these special populations. Table 3 presents the same analysis stratified by gender and race. Table 4 documents the same variables and outcomes for each of the six primary populations served by IFCS, defined as having one of the following primary presenting problems: Abuse/Neglect, Family Conflict, Emotional/Physical Problems, Infant Mental Health, School Problems, and Juvenile Delinquency. Table 5 presents outcomes stratified by primary presenting problems, gender and race.

The following overall outcomes are highlighted for IFCS Family Centered Treatment services:

- Joining¹
 - **95%** of clients/families have more than 5 direct contacts with their FCT practitioner

For full participants (those families whose treatment moves beyond the 5th direct contact):

- Keeping families together
 - **88%** of clients who complete treatment maintain their family placement, are reunified with their family, or are in a placement plan developed in their best interest
- Progress toward individualized treatment goals
 - FCSs report **85%** of families made positive progress toward their primary treatment goal
 - **86%** of families report positive progress toward their primary treatment goal
 - *Family reports are higher FCS reports*
- Customer Satisfaction
 - **72%** of families agree that IFCS has improved their family life.
 - Only **1%** of families disagree that IFCS has improved their family life²

¹ 30 FCT clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.

² Based on a single question asked at the discharge meeting. The results of a full Family Satisfaction Survey are available on the IFCS website at: http://ifcsinc.com/fct/pro_evidence.php

2. Data

IFCS maintains a rigorous data collection process. Data is collected on every client/family via the Application for Services, Client Data Collection, and General Discharge Summary Forms. Additional data may be collected on a customized basis upon request by funding and/or referral sources. All client records are maintained in IFCS' proprietary software CRMIT (Corporate Resource and Management Information Tool). This ensures that every client is accounted for in the analysis of data.

Table 1: Variable Definition and Measurement

Variables	Definition
	Note: All variables are binary except for age and LOS
Age	Age in years at intake
LOS	Length of Service based on start date and discharge date
Primary Presenting Problems	Top 3 presenting problems are identified and ranked in order of importance by FCS during assessment phase and in collaboration with all collaterals. Specific problems are sorted in categories as shown in Tables 2-6. This variable indicates whether the problem was identified as one of the top 3 presenting problems.
Placement status at discharge: All Clients	FCS documents placement status at time of discharge by checking all applicable options as shown. Successful placements are defined as: Maintained Family Placement, Reunified With Family, successfully transitioned into Independent Living, or placed in a <i>Planned</i> Out of Home Placement determined by all collaterals to be in the best interests of the client. Unsuccessful placements are defined as unplanned Out of Home Placements or unplanned Maintenance of an Out of Home Placement. Where it is unknown whether the out of home placement was planned or not, it is assumed the placement is unplanned.
Placement status at discharge: Completed clients	Early attrition is identified by discharge status of Runaway, Relocated, Non-compliant/Refused Services, Funding ended, Transferred to Another Agency, or Other. After dropping observations with early attrition, this measures the proportion of clients completing treatment who were discharged in successful/unsuccessful placements.
Primary Theme of Treatment	The options presented in Table 2-6 represent an exhaustive list of the possible areas of emphasis for Family Centered Treatment. Upon discharge, the FCS identifies the primary theme of treatment.
Number of direct contacts with family	Upon discharge, the FCS identifies the number of direct contacts made with the client and/or family within the ranges specified in Tables 2-6.
Progress Toward Treatment Goals	Individualized treatment goals are developed in the Individual Service Plan in collaboration between the family, the FCS, and other collaterals. The treatment goals and their ranking correspond to the Primary Presenting Problems identified earlier. At the final meeting with the family, the FCS and the family determine independently, for each goal, the level of progress made upon the Likert scale presented in Tables 2-6.
IFCS has improved our family life	At the final meeting with the family, the FCS asks the family to evaluate this statement based on the Likert scale presented in Tables 2-6.
Aftercare Plan	If an aftercare plan is developed, the FCS identifies the appropriate plan as indicated in Tables 2-6.
Aftercare Services	If a plan for aftercare services was developed, the FCS identifies the level of care of the aftercare service relative to the level of care provided by IFCS.

3. Results

3.a Results for All Populations Combined:

Table 2 presents client characteristics for all clients discharged during the calendar year 2009, in order to show the distribution of alternative Service Delivery Models across all clients. Client characteristic and treatment outcomes are then presented for all clients discharged from FCT services, and then for that subset of clients receiving FCT and continuing with services beyond the 5th direct contact (referred to as “Full Participants;” see highlighted outcomes above). Similarly, client characteristics and treatment outcomes are presented for full participants in the specialty Sex Offender and Substance Abuse treatment models. These models incorporate FCT as well as group and other clinical services as directed for that specialty population. Tables 3A and 3B present the same analysis stratified by race and gender, respectively. Sample Sizes are too small to distinguish race and gender effects on Community Based Sex Offender and Community Based Substance Abuse models; therefore race and gender effects for these service delivery models are not included in the analysis.



Table 2: IFCS January-December 2009 OUTCOMES SUMMARY

Companywide Outcomes for FCT, Community Based Sex Offender Treatment, and Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment

	All Clients	All FCT Clients	FCT Full Participants*	Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Full Participants*	Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment Full Participants*
Number of Discharges	2449	1170	1086	15	7
Mean age in years	14	13	13	15	16
Age range in years	0-85	0-56	0-56	12-17	13-18
Average LOS in days	200	182	188	377	84
LOS range in days	1-1581	6-1095	11-1095	92-814	58-121
Proportional Means					
Proportion male	56%	61%	60%	93%	100%
Race Composition					
Black	45%	50%	50%	93%	71%
Hispanic	10%	7%	7%	0%	0%
White	40%	38%	37%	7%	14%
Other/Unknown	4%	5%	5%	0%	14%
Services Delivered					
FCT	48%	98%	98%	0%	0%
Community Based Sex Offender	1%	1%	1%	100%	0%
Community Based Substance Abuse	0%	1%	1%	0%	100%
Other	52%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Primary Presenting Problems					
Abuse/Neglect		21%	21%	13%	0%
Emotional/Physical Problems		68%	68%	73%	71%
Family Conflict		62%	63%	47%	14%
Infant Mental Health		4%	3%	0%	0%
Juvenile Delinquency		13%	13%	47%	86%
School Problems		23%	23%	20%	29%
Other		3%	3%	0%	0%

Table 2 continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients	FCT Full Participants*	Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Full Participants*	Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment Full Participants*
Rate of Successful Joining**					
			95%	99%	99%
Number of Direct Contacts with Family					
5 or less		5%	0%	0%	0%
6--10		9%	10%	0%	14%
11--20		15%	16%	13%	0%
More than 20		71%	74%	87%	86%
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***					
Successful Placements			88%	70%	80%
Unsuccessful Placements			12%	30%	20%
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients					
Maintain Family Placement		76%	76%	67%	86%
Reunified with Family		2%	2%	13%	0%
Planned Out of Home Placement		2%	2%	0%	0%
Independent Living		0%	0%	0%	0%
Successful Placements		80%	81%	80%	86%
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned		7%	7%	13%	14%
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned		3%	3%	7%	0%
Unsuccessful Placements		10%	10%	20%	14%
Runaway		2%	2%	0%	0%
Relocated		3%	3%	0%	0%
Non-compliant/Refused Services		7%	6%	0%	29%
Funding Ended		3%	3%	27%	0%
Transferred to another Agency		3%	3%	0%	0%
Other		8%	7%	7%	14%
Primary Theme of Treatment					
Parental Alliance		5%	5%	0%	0%
Support System for Parents		12%	11%	0%	0%
Parent/Child Relationships		46%	46%	33%	57%
Parental System Relationship		4%	4%	7%	0%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities		34%	34%	60%	43%

Table 2 continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients	FCT Full Participants*	Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Full Participants*	Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment Full Participants*
Progress Toward Treatment Goals					
<i>Goal 1, FCS Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		23%	23%	27%	14%
Moderate Improvement		33%	34%	7%	29%
Slight Improvement		26%	27%	53%	14%
No Change		15%	13%	13%	43%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	0%	0%
<i>Goal 1, Family Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		29%	30%	27%	33%
Moderate Improvement		32%	33%	9%	17%
Slight Improvement		23%	23%	45%	17%
No Change		13%	11%	18%	33%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	0%	0%
<i>Goal 2, FCS Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		21%	21%	29%	14%
Moderate Improvement		32%	33%	7%	14%
Slight Improvement		26%	27%	21%	43%
No Change		18%	16%	36%	29%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	7%	0%
<i>Goal 2, Family Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		26%	27%	50%	17%
Moderate Improvement		32%	33%	10%	17%
Slight Improvement		23%	23%	0%	17%
No Change		15%	14%	30%	50%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	10%	0%
<i>Goal 3, FCS Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		22%	23%	13%	0%
Moderate Improvement		32%	33%	38%	0.6
Slight Improvement		28%	29%	25%	0%
No Change		15%	13%	25%	20%
Problem Worsened		2%	2%	0%	20%
<i>Goal 3, Family Report</i>					
Significant Improvement		31%	31%	33%	0%
Moderate Improvement		31%	31%	17%	75%
Slight Improvement		21%	22%	33%	0%
No Change		14%	13%	17%	25%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	0%	0%

Table 2 continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients	FCT Full Participants*	Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Full Participants*	Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment Full Participants*
Positive Progress					
<i>FCS Report</i>					
Goal 1		82%	85%	87%	57%
Goal 2		79%	82%	57%	71%
Goal 3		82%	84%	75%	60%
All Goals		71%	73%	0.6	29%
<i>Family Report</i>					
Goal 1		84%	86%	82%	67%
Goal 2		82%	83%	60%	50%
Goal 3		83%	84%	83%	75%
All Goals		74%	75%	64%	33%
IFCS has improved our family life					
Strongly Agree		28%	29%	13%	0%
Agree		41%	43%	53%	57%
No Opinion		29%	26%	33%	43%
Disagree		1%	1%	0%	0%
Strongly Disagree		0%	0%	0%	0%
Aftercare Plan					
Discharge, family will request support if needed		90%	89%	93%	86%
Discharge; follow up call to occur		5%	5%	0%	0%
Discharge; referral for aftercare services		8%	8%	7%	14%
Discharge from FCT; continue with other IFCS service		2%	3%	7%	0%
Aftercare Services					
More restrictive than IFCS services		33%	29%	N/A	N/A
*30 FCT clients, 2 Community Based Sex Offender clients, and 2 Community Based Substance Abuse clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts. Therefore, the means presented here are estimated outcomes rather than population outcomes.					
**Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts (see note above). This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.					
***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transferring to another agency, or client runaway status.					



Table 3A: IFCS January-December 2009 OUTCOMES SUMMARY for Race

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
	All	All	White	Non-white	All	White	Non-white
Number of discharges	2449	1170	442	728	1086	407	679
Mean age in years	14	13	13	13	13	12	13
Age range in years	0-85	0-56	0-56	0-55	0-56	0-56	0-32
Average LOS in days	200	182	194	175	188	200	181
LOS range in days	1-1581	6-1095	6-1095	6-934	11-1095	13-1095	11-934
Proportional Means							
Proportion Male	56%	61%	57%	63%	60%	56%	62%
Race Composition							
Black	45%	50%	0%	80%	50%	0%	80%
Hispanic	10%	7%	0%	12%	7%	0%	12%
White	40%	38%	100%	0%	37%	100%	0%
Other/Unknown	4%	5%	0%	8%	5%	0%	8%
Services Delivered							
FCT	48%	98%	99%	97%	98%	100%	97%
Community Based Sex Offender	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Community Based Substance Abuse	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Other	52%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Primary Presenting Problems							
Abuse/Neglect		21%	18%	22%	21%	19%	23%
Emotional/Physical Problems		68%	73%	65%	68%	73%	65%
Family Conflict		62%	62%	62%	63%	64%	63%
Infant Mental Health		4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Juvenile Delinquency		13%	8%	17%	13%	8%	16%
School Problems		23%	19%	25%	23%	20%	25%
Other		3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%

Table 3A continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
	All	All	White	Non-white	All	White	Non-white
Rate of Successful Joining **							
		95%	96%	94%			
Number of Direct Contacts with Family							
5 or less		5%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%
6--10		9%	8%	10%	10%	9%	10%
11--20		15%	13%	16%	16%	14%	17%
More than 20		71%	73%	70%	74%	77%	73%
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***							
Successful Placements					88%	90%	88%
Unsuccessful Placements					12%	10%	12%
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients							
Maintain Family Placement		76%	78%	74%	76%	79%	75%
Reunified with Family		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Planned Out of Home Placement		2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Independent Living		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Successful Placements		80%	82%	79%	81%	83%	79%
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned		7%	5%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Unsuccessful Placements		10%	9%	11%	10%	9%	11%
Runaway		2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Relocated		3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Non-compliant/Refused Services		7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%
Funding Ended		3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Transferred to another Agency		3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Other		8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Primary Theme of Treatment							
Parental Alliance		5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%
Support System for Parents		12%	9%	13%	11%	8%	13%
Parent/Child Relationships		46%	50%	44%	46%	50%	44%
Parental System Relationship		4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities		34%	32%	35%	34%	33%	35%

Table 3A continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
	All	All	White	Non-white	All	White	Non-white
Progress Toward Treatment Goals							
Goal 1, FCS Report							
Significant Improvement		23%	21%	24%	23%	21%	25%
Moderate Improvement		33%	35%	31%	34%	37%	32%
Slight Improvement		26%	25%	27%	27%	25%	28%
No Change		15%	17%	14%	13%	14%	12%
Problem Worsened		3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 1, Family Report							
Significant Improvement		29%	27%	31%	30%	28%	31%
Moderate Improvement		32%	34%	31%	33%	35%	32%
Slight Improvement		23%	22%	23%	23%	23%	23%
No Change		13%	14%	12%	11%	11%	11%
Problem Worsened		3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 2, FCS Report							
Significant Improvement		21%	18%	22%	21%	19%	23%
Moderate Improvement		32%	36%	30%	33%	38%	31%
Slight Improvement		26%	26%	26%	27%	27%	27%
No Change		18%	18%	18%	16%	14%	17%
Problem Worsened		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Goal 2, Family Report							
Significant Improvement		26%	25%	27%	27%	26%	28%
Moderate Improvement		32%	34%	32%	33%	35%	32%
Slight Improvement		23%	24%	22%	23%	25%	22%
No Change		15%	15%	15%	14%	12%	15%
Problem Worsened		3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 3, FCS Report							
Significant Improvement		22%	22%	22%	23%	22%	23%
Moderate Improvement		32%	32%	32%	33%	34%	33%
Slight Improvement		28%	28%	28%	29%	29%	29%
No Change		15%	16%	15%	13%	13%	13%
Problem Worsened		2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Goal 3, Family Report							
Significant Improvement		31%	30%	32%	31%	31%	32%
Moderate Improvement		31%	33%	29%	31%	33%	29%
Slight Improvement		21%	19%	23%	22%	20%	23%
No Change		14%	16%	13%	13%	14%	12%
Problem Worsened		3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%

Table 3A continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
	All	All	White	Non-white	All	White	Non-white
Positive Progress							
<i>FCS Report</i>							
Goal 1		82%	81%	83%	85%	84%	85%
Goal 2		79%	80%	79%	82%	83%	81%
Goal 3		82%	82%	83%	84%	85%	84%
All Goals		71%	70%	71%	73%	73%	74%
<i>Family Report</i>							
Goal 1		84%	84%	85%	86%	87%	86%
Goal 2		82%	83%	81%	83%	86%	82%
Goal 3		83%	82%	83%	84%	84%	84%
All Goals		74%	73%	74%	75%	75%	75%
IFCS has improved our family life							
Strongly Agree		28%	28%	29%	29%	29%	30%
Agree		41%	41%	41%	43%	44%	42%
No Opinion		29%	29%	29%	26%	25%	27%
Disagree		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Strongly Disagree		0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Aftercare Plan							
Discharge, family will request support if needed		90%	91%	89%	89%	91%	88%
Discharge; follow up call to occur		5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%
Discharge; referral for aftercare services		8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%
Discharge from FCT; continue with other IFCS service		2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Aftercare Services							
More restrictive than IFCS services		33%	15%	42%	29%	16%	36%

*30 FCT Clients, 2 Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Clients, and 2 Community Based Substance Abuse Treatment Clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.

**Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts. This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.

***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transferring to another agency, or client runaway status.



Table 3B: IFCS January-December 2009 OUTCOMES SUMMARY for Gender

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
F=Female M=Male	All	All	F	M	All	F	M
Number of discharges	2449	1170	459	711	1086	436	650
Mean age in years	14	13	12	14	13	12	13
Age range in years	0-85	0-56	0-46	0-56	0-56	0-46	0-56
Average LOS in days	200	182	184	181	188	189	187
LOS range in days	1-1581	6-1095	6-849	6-1095	11-1095	13-849	11-1095
Proportional Means							
Proportion Male	56%	61%	0%	100%	60%	0%	100%
Race Composition							
Black	45%	50%	45%	53%	50%	45%	53%
Hispanic	10%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%
White	40%	38%	42%	35%	37%	41%	35%
Other/Unknown	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%
Services Delivered							
FCT	48%	98%	100%	96%	98%	100%	97%
Community Based Sex Offender	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Community Based Substance Abuse	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Other	52%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Primary Presenting Problems							
Abuse/Neglect		21%	29%	15%	21%	30%	16%
Emotional/Physical Problems		68%	64%	70%	68%	65%	70%
Family Conflict		62%	67%	59%	63%	68%	60%
Infant Mental Health		4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Juvenile Delinquency		13%	7%	18%	13%	7%	17%
School Problems		23%	17%	27%	23%	18%	27%
Other		3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%

Table 3B continued

	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
		All	F	M	All	F	M
F=Female M=Male							
Rate of Successful Joining **							
		95%	96%	95%			
Number of Direct Contacts with Family							
5 or less		5%	4%	5%	0%	0%	0%
6--10		9%	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%
11--20		15%	20%	12%	16%	20%	13%
More than 20		71%	66%	74%	74%	69%	78%
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***							
Successful Placements					88%	90%	87%
Unsuccessful Placements					12%	10%	13%
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients							
Maintain Family Placement		76%	77%	75%	76%	78%	76%
Reunified with Family		2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Planned Out of Home Placement		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Independent Living		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Successful Placements		80%	81%	79%	81%	82%	80%
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned		7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned		3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Unsuccessful Placements		10%	9%	11%	10%	9%	11%
Runaway		2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Relocated		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Non-compliant/Refused Services		7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%
Funding Ended		3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Transferred to another Agency		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Other		8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Primary Theme of Treatment							
Parental Alliance		5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%
Support System for Parents		12%	14%	11%	11%	13%	10%
Parent/Child Relationships		46%	47%	45%	46%	48%	45%
Parental System Relationship		4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	3%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities		34%	30%	37%	34%	30%	37%

Table 3B continued

		All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
F=Female	M=Male	All	All	F	M	All	F	M
Progress Toward Treatment Goals								
Goal 1, FCS Report								
			23%	24%	22%	23%	25%	22%
			33%	35%	31%	34%	37%	33%
			26%	24%	28%	27%	24%	28%
			15%	15%	15%	13%	12%	13%
			3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 1, Family Report								
			29%	31%	28%	30%	32%	29%
			32%	35%	31%	33%	36%	31%
			23%	20%	24%	23%	20%	25%
			13%	12%	13%	11%	10%	12%
			3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 2, FCS Report								
			21%	24%	19%	21%	25%	19%
			32%	34%	31%	33%	35%	32%
			26%	24%	27%	27%	24%	28%
			18%	16%	19%	16%	14%	17%
			3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 2, Family Report								
			26%	28%	25%	27%	29%	26%
			32%	32%	32%	33%	33%	33%
			23%	24%	22%	23%	24%	23%
			15%	14%	17%	14%	12%	15%
			3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
Goal 3, FCS Report								
			22%	24%	21%	23%	24%	21%
			32%	33%	32%	33%	33%	33%
			28%	28%	28%	29%	29%	29%
			15%	14%	16%	13%	12%	14%
			2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
Goal 3, Family Report								
			31%	35%	28%	31%	36%	28%
			31%	30%	31%	31%	30%	32%
			21%	21%	21%	22%	21%	22%
			14%	13%	15%	13%	11%	14%
			3%	1%	4%	3%	1%	4%

Table 3B continued

F=Female M=Male	All Clients	All FCT Clients			FCT Full Participants*		
		All	F	M	All	F	M
Positive Progress							
<i>FCS Report</i>							
Goal 1		82%	83%	81%	85%	86%	83%
Goal 2		79%	81%	78%	82%	84%	80%
Goal 3		82%	85%	81%	84%	87%	83%
All Goals		71%	74%	68%	73%	77%	71%
<i>Family Report</i>							
Goal 1		84%	86%	83%	86%	88%	85%
Goal 2		82%	84%	80%	83%	86%	82%
Goal 3		83%	86%	81%	84%	87%	82%
All Goals		74%	77%	71%	75%	78%	73%
IFCS has improved our family life							
Strongly Agree		28%	34%	25%	29%	35%	26%
Agree		41%	39%	43%	43%	40%	45%
No Opinion		29%	27%	30%	26%	25%	27%
Disagree		1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Strongly Disagree		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Aftercare Plan							
Discharge, family will request support if needed		90%	89%	90%	89%	89%	89%
Discharge; follow up call to occur		5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%
Discharge; referral for aftercare services		8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%
Discharge from FCT; continue with other IFCS service		2%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Aftercare Services							
More restrictive than IFCS services		33%	23%	38%	29%	20%	34%
<p>*30 FCT Clients and 2 Community Based Sex Offender Treatment Clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.</p> <p>**Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts. This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.</p> <p>***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transferring to another agency, or client runaway status.</p>							

3b. Results by Service Population:

Table 4 presents treatment outcomes for families receiving FCT, stratified by service population. Only full participants are included in this analysis. Families are stratified into service population as defined by having one of the following primary presenting problems identified during the FCT assessment phase: *Abuse/Neglect, Family Conflict, Emotional/Physical Problems, Infant Mental Health, School Problems, and Juvenile Delinquent*. Since the three most important primary presenting problems are documented on the Client Data Collection Form, a given family can be in more than one service population. Tables 5A and 5B present the same analysis stratified by race and gender respectively. For brevity, highlighted outcomes are not presented here. What is noteworthy, however, is that success rates do not vary much across the different populations. I.E., FCT is an effective treatment program for a diverse set of social service populations.



**Table 4: IFCS FAMILY CENTERED TREATMENT OUTCOMES BY SERVICE POPULATION Full Participants*
January – December 2009**

	Abuse/Neglect	Emotional/Physical Problems	Infant Mental Health	Juvenile Delinquent	School Problems	Family Problems
Estimates of proportional means**						
	n=220	n=706	n=36	n=135	n=242	n=317
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***						
Successful Placements	92%	89%	96%	78%	90%	88%
Unsuccessful placements	8%	11%	4%	22%	10%	12%
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients****						
Maintain Family Placement	80%	79%	86%	69%	79%	75%
Reunified with Family	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Planned out of home placement	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Independent Living	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Successful placements	83%	83%	89%	73%	84%	79%
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned	4%	8%	3%	16%	6%	8%
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Unsuccessful placements	8%	10%	6%	19%	9%	11%
Runaway	0%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%
Relocated	2%	3%	0%	4%	2%	2%
Non-compliant/Refused Services	9%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Funding Ended	2%	4%	11%	4%	3%	3%
Transferred to another Agency	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%
Other	9%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%
Primary Theme of Treatment						
Parental Alliance	6%	4%	9%	2%	3%	5%
Support System for Parents	15%	12%	20%	6%	8%	9%
Parent/Child Relationships	39%	46%	43%	43%	48%	54%
Parental System Relationship	8%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities	33%	35%	26%	47%	38%	28%
Number of Direct Contacts with Family						
Rate of Successful Joining *****	96%	96%	86%	95%	98%	98%
6--10	11%	8%	8%	7%	6%	8%
11--20	20%	16%	25%	16%	14%	11%
More than 20	68%	77%	67%	77%	80%	81%

Table 4 continued

	Abuse/Neglect	Emotional/Physical Problems	Infant Mental Health	Juvenile Delinquent	School Problems	Family Problems
Progress Toward Treatment Goals						
<i>Goal 1, FCS Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	27%	21%	34%	21%	20%	25%
Moderate Improvement	36%	35%	40%	29%	34%	32%
Slight Improvement	26%	28%	9%	24%	28%	30%
No Change	9%	13%	14%	20%	13%	13%
Problem Worsened	2%	3%	3%	6%	4%	1%
<i>Goal 1, Family Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	39%	29%	45%	26%	29%	27%
Moderate Improvement	33%	31%	31%	24%	33%	37%
Slight Improvement	18%	26%	17%	29%	23%	23%
No Change	7%	11%	3%	15%	11%	13%
Problem Worsened	2%	3%	3%	6%	4%	2%
<i>Goal 2, FCS Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	25%	21%	24%	13%	19%	22%
Moderate Improvement	37%	33%	33%	32%	32%	35%
Slight Improvement	24%	28%	27%	26%	30%	26%
No Change	13%	16%	15%	25%	16%	15%
Problem Worsened	1%	2%	0%	4%	4%	2%
<i>Goal 2, Family Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	34%	27%	43%	18%	25%	25%
Moderate Improvement	34%	31%	25%	34%	32%	35%
Slight Improvement	19%	26%	18%	17%	29%	24%
No Change	10%	14%	11%	25%	10%	14%
Problem Worsened	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%	2%
<i>Goal 3, FCS Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	27%	23%	25%	15%	19%	26%
Moderate Improvement	37%	30%	32%	38%	32%	30%
Slight Improvement	25%	30%	32%	24%	29%	25%
No Change	10%	15%	11%	19%	16%	17%
Problem Worsened	1%	2%	0%	5%	3%	3%
<i>Goal 3, Family Report</i>						
Significant Improvement	41%	29%	44%	26%	30%	29%
Moderate Improvement	31%	30%	28%	28%	28%	34%
Slight Improvement	17%	23%	20%	21%	27%	19%
No Change	9%	14%	4%	21%	12%	15%
Problem Worsened	1%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%

Table 4 continued						
	Abuse/Neglect	Emotional/Physical Problems	Infant Mental Health	Juvenile Delinquent	School Problems	Family Problems
Positive Progress						
<i>FCS Report</i>						
Goal 1	89%	84%	83%	74%	82%	86%
Goal 2	86%	81%	85%	71%	80%	83%
Goal 3	90%	83%	89%	76%	81%	80%
All Goals	80%	72%	77%	58%	69%	73%
Positive Progress						
<i>Family Report</i>						
Goal 1	91%	86%	93%	79%	85%	86%
Goal 2	87%	84%	86%	70%	87%	83%
Goal 3	90%	82%	92%	75%	84%	81%
All Goals	82%	74%	83%	60%	75%	74%
Family Satisfaction						
IFCS has improved our family life.						
Strongly Agree	43%	28%	35%	25%	21%	29%
Agree	31%	46%	35%	48%	52%	50%
No Opinion	25%	24%	26%	24%	25%	21%
Disagree	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%
Strongly Disagree	0%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%
<p>*30 (2.5%) of FCT clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.</p> <p>** Of the 1086 Full participant FCT clients discharged in 2009, 94 (8.6%) of files are missing data on primary presenting problem and are thus not included in this analysis. Therefore, proportional means presented here are estimates based on a sample of 91.4% of FCT Full Participants.</p> <p>***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transfers to another agency, or client runaway status.</p> <p>**** Means may sum to more than 100% because more than one status can be true (e.g., Maintained family placement and relocation).</p> <p>*****Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts. This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.</p>						



**Table 5A: IFCS FAMILY CENTERED TREATMENT OUTCOMES BY SERVICE POPULATION & RACE Full Participants*
January – December 2009**

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems					
Estimates of proportional means**																					
W=White	NW=Non-White		All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	
	n=220	n=73	n=147	n=706	n=282	n=424	n=36	n=14	n=22	n=135	n=32	n=103	n=242	n=78	n=164	n=317	n=118	n=199			
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***																					
Successful Placements	92%	90%	93%	89%	91%	88%	96%	91%	100%	78%	85%	76%	90%	90%	89%	88%	93%	85%			
Unsuccessful placements	8%	10%	7%	11%	9%	12%	4%	9%	0%	22%	15%	24%	10%	10%	11%	12%	7%	15%			
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients****																					
Maintain Family Placement	80%	81%	79%	79%	80%	78%	86%	79%	91%	69%	72%	68%	79%	81%	79%	75%	83%	71%			
Reunified with Family	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%			
Planned out of home placement	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%			
Independent Living	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%			
Successful placements	83%	85%	82%	83%	84%	82%	89%	79%	95%	73%	75%	73%	84%	85%	84%	79%	86%	75%			
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned	4%	4%	3%	8%	7%	9%	3%	7%	0%	16%	9%	18%	6%	5%	7%	8%	4%	10%			
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned	4%	4%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	7%	0%	3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%			
Unsuccessful placements	8%	8%	7%	10%	8%	11%	6%	14%	0%	19%	16%	20%	9%	9%	9%	11%	6%	14%			
Runaway	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	7%	0%	4%	6%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%			
Relocated	2%	0%	3%	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	5%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%			
Non-compliant/Refused Services	9%	12%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	0%	9%	5%	0%	7%	5%	3%	6%	5%	6%	5%			
Funding Ended	2%	3%	2%	4%	6%	3%	11%	7%	14%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	1%			
Transferred to another Agency	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	7%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%			
Other	9%	10%	9%	6%	5%	6%	3%	0%	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	5%	6%			

Table 5A continued

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
	W=White	NW=Non-White		All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW
Primary Theme of Treatment																		
Parental Alliance	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%	3%	9%	8%	9%	2%	0%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	7%	5%
Support System for Parents	15%	10%	17%	12%	8%	15%	20%	15%	23%	6%	0%	8%	8%	1%	11%	9%	5%	11%
Parent/Child Relationships	39%	42%	37%	46%	49%	44%	43%	46%	41%	43%	53%	40%	48%	60%	43%	54%	58%	52%
Parental System Relationship	8%	11%	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	8%	0%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities	33%	31%	34%	35%	35%	35%	26%	23%	27%	47%	44%	48%	38%	34%	39%	28%	29%	28%
Number of Direct Contacts with Family																		
Rate of Successful Joining *****	96%	97%	95%	96%	94%	97%	86%	74%	96%	95%	94%	95%	98%	99%	97%	98%	98%	98%
6--10	11%	11%	12%	8%	6%	8%	8%	0%	14%	7%	3%	8%	6%	6%	6%	8%	4%	11%
11--20	20%	19%	21%	16%	14%	17%	25%	50%	9%	16%	6%	19%	14%	6%	17%	11%	6%	14%
More than 20	68%	70%	67%	77%	79%	75%	67%	50%	77%	77%	91%	73%	80%	87%	77%	81%	90%	75%
Progress Toward Treatment Goals																		
<i>Goal 1, FCS Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	27%	21%	30%	21%	19%	22%	34%	36%	33%	21%	28%	18%	20%	22%	19%	25%	23%	26%
Moderate Improvement	36%	37%	35%	35%	37%	33%	40%	43%	38%	29%	34%	28%	34%	39%	31%	32%	38%	29%
Slight Improvement	26%	27%	25%	28%	29%	28%	9%	7%	10%	24%	9%	29%	28%	21%	32%	30%	29%	30%
No Change	9%	13%	7%	13%	12%	13%	14%	14%	14%	20%	22%	19%	13%	14%	13%	13%	10%	14%
Problem Worsened	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%	5%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	4%	1%	0%	2%
<i>Goal 1, Family Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	39%	36%	41%	29%	26%	31%	45%	38%	50%	26%	29%	25%	29%	29%	29%	27%	26%	27%
Moderate Improvement	33%	34%	33%	31%	34%	29%	31%	38%	25%	24%	25%	24%	33%	39%	31%	37%	35%	37%
Slight Improvement	18%	18%	19%	26%	27%	25%	17%	15%	19%	29%	17%	32%	23%	18%	25%	23%	28%	19%
No Change	7%	11%	5%	11%	10%	11%	3%	8%	0%	15%	25%	13%	11%	12%	10%	13%	10%	14%
Problem Worsened	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	0%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	2%	5%	2%	0%	2%

Table 5A continued

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems			
	W=White	NW=Non-White		All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	
<i>Goal 2, FCS Report</i>																			
Significant Improvement	25%	24%	25%	21%	18%	22%	24%	29%	21%	13%	10%	15%	19%	17%	19%	22%	20%	24%	
Moderate Improvement	37%	41%	35%	33%	37%	30%	33%	36%	32%	32%	39%	29%	32%	39%	28%	35%	40%	32%	
Slight Improvement	24%	22%	25%	28%	27%	28%	27%	21%	32%	26%	26%	26%	30%	28%	31%	26%	26%	25%	
No Change	13%	13%	12%	16%	14%	18%	15%	14%	16%	25%	19%	27%	16%	12%	18%	15%	12%	16%	
Problem Worsened	1%	0%	2%	2%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	
<i>Goal 2, Family Report</i>																			
Significant Improvement	34%	35%	34%	27%	25%	29%	43%	46%	40%	18%	5%	22%	25%	27%	25%	25%	24%	25%	
Moderate Improvement	34%	33%	35%	31%	33%	29%	25%	23%	27%	34%	41%	32%	32%	34%	31%	35%	38%	32%	
Slight Improvement	19%	22%	18%	26%	27%	25%	18%	15%	20%	17%	27%	15%	29%	31%	28%	24%	22%	25%	
No Change	10%	11%	10%	14%	13%	14%	11%	15%	7%	25%	18%	27%	10%	6%	12%	14%	14%	14%	
Problem Worsened	3%	0%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	0%	7%	5%	9%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	
<i>Goal 3, FCS Report</i>																			
Significant Improvement	27%	27%	27%	23%	21%	24%	25%	33%	19%	15%	10%	16%	19%	16%	21%	26%	26%	26%	
Moderate Improvement	37%	37%	37%	30%	34%	28%	32%	33%	31%	38%	43%	36%	32%	34%	31%	30%	33%	27%	
Slight Improvement	25%	27%	25%	30%	28%	31%	32%	25%	38%	24%	29%	22%	29%	30%	29%	25%	23%	26%	
No Change	10%	10%	10%	15%	15%	15%	11%	8%	13%	19%	19%	19%	16%	16%	15%	17%	15%	18%	
Problem Worsened	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	6%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	
<i>Goal 3, Family Report</i>																			
Significant Improvement	41%	42%	40%	29%	29%	30%	44%	50%	38%	26%	13%	30%	30%	23%	33%	29%	29%	29%	
Moderate Improvement	31%	30%	32%	30%	34%	27%	28%	25%	31%	28%	44%	23%	28%	35%	24%	34%	41%	29%	
Slight Improvement	17%	12%	20%	23%	20%	26%	20%	17%	23%	21%	25%	20%	27%	25%	28%	19%	12%	23%	
No Change	9%	16%	6%	14%	15%	13%	4%	8%	0%	21%	19%	22%	12%	18%	10%	15%	17%	13%	
Problem Worsened	1%	0%	2%	4%	2%	5%	4%	0%	8%	4%	0%	5%	4%	0%	6%	4%	1%	5%	

Table 5A continued

W=White NW=Non-White	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW	All	W	NW
Positive Progress																		
<i>FCS Report</i>																		
Goal 1	89%	86%	90%	84%	84%	84%	83%	86%	81%	74%	72%	74%	82%	82%	83%	86%	90%	84%
Goal 2	86%	87%	86%	81%	82%	81%	85%	86%	84%	71%	74%	70%	80%	84%	79%	83%	86%	81%
Goal 3	90%	90%	89%	83%	83%	83%	89%	92%	88%	76%	81%	75%	81%	81%	81%	80%	82%	79%
All Goals	80%	79%	92%	72%	71%	72%	77%	79%	76%	58%	59%	57%	69%	68%	69%	73%	75%	72%
Positive Progress																		
<i>Family Report</i>																		
Goal 1	91%	88%	81%	86%	87%	85%	93%	92%	94%	79%	71%	81%	85%	86%	85%	86%	90%	83%
Goal 2	87%	89%	92%	84%	85%	83%	86%	85%	87%	70%	73%	69%	87%	92%	84%	83%	85%	82%
Goal 3	90%	84%	86%	82%	83%	82%	92%	92%	92%	75%	81%	73%	84%	82%	85%	81%	81%	82%
All Goals	82%	79%	83%	74%	73%	74%	83%	77%	88%	60%	54%	61%	75%	74%	75%	74%	73%	75%
Family Satisfaction																		
IFCS has improved our family life.																		
Strongly Agree	43%	36%	46%	28%	29%	28%	35%	50%	25%	25%	16%	27%	21%	25%	20%	29%	30%	28%
Agree	31%	30%	31%	46%	45%	47%	35%	36%	35%	48%	55%	46%	52%	53%	52%	50%	50%	50%
No Opinion	25%	33%	22%	24%	23%	24%	26%	14%	35%	24%	23%	24%	25%	21%	27%	21%	19%	21%
Disagree	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Strongly Disagree	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*30 (2.5%) of FCT clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.
 ** Of the 1086 Full participant FCT clients discharged in 2009, 94 (8.6%) of files are missing data on primary presenting problem and are thus not included in this analysis. Therefore, proportional means presented here are estimates based on a sample of 91.4% of FCT Full Participants.
 ***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transfers to another agency, or client runaway status.
 **** Means may sum to more than 100% because more than one status can be true (e.g., Maintained family placement and relocation).
 *****Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts. This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.



**Table 5B: IFCS FAMILY CENTERED TREATMENT OUTCOMES BY SERVICE POPULATION & GENDER Full Participants*
January – December 2009**

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
Estimates of proportional means**																		
	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M
M=Male F=Female	n=220	n=123	n=97	n=706	n=268	n=438	n=36	n=18	n=18	n=135	n=28	n=107	n=242	n=73	n=169	n=317	n=134	n=183
Placement Status at Discharge: Completed Clients***																		
Successful Placements	92%	94%	89%	89%	89%	89%	96%	92%	100%	78%	82%	77%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%	85%
Unsuccessful placements	8%	6%	11%	11%	11%	11%	4%	8%	0%	22%	18%	23%	10%	12%	10%	12%	9%	15%
Placement Status at Discharge: All Clients****																		
Maintain Family Placement	80%	85%	73%	79%	79%	78%	86%	83%	89%	69%	79%	66%	79%	78%	80%	75%	78%	74%
Reunified with Family	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%
Planned out of home placement	3%	1%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	6%	3%	0%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Independent Living	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Successful placements	83%	86%	79%	83%	83%	82%	89%	83%	94%	73%	79%	72%	84%	84%	84%	79%	83%	77%
Placed Out of Home/Unplanned	4%	2%	5%	8%	9%	8%	3%	6%	0%	16%	14%	17%	6%	10%	5%	8%	6%	9%
Maintained Out of Home Placement/Unplanned	4%	4%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	6%	0%	3%	0%	4%	3%	0%	4%	3%	1%	4%
Unsuccessful placements	8%	7%	9%	10%	10%	10%	6%	11%	0%	19%	14%	21%	9%	10%	9%	11%	7%	13%
Runaway	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%	6%	0%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	4%
Relocated	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Non-compliant/Refused Services	9%	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%	6%	11%	0%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%
Funding Ended	2%	2%	2%	4%	5%	4%	11%	11%	11%	4%	7%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Transferred to another Agency	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	6%	1%	0%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%
Other	9%	8%	10%	6%	3%	8%	3%	0%	6%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	6%

Table 5B continued

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M
Primary Theme of Treatment																		
Parental Alliance	6%	3%	8%	4%	3%	4%	9%	12%	6%	2%	4%	2%	3%	0%	4%	5%	6%	5%
Support System for Parents	15%	18%	11%	12%	13%	11%	20%	18%	22%	6%	4%	7%	8%	10%	7%	9%	8%	10%
Parent/Child Relationships	39%	40%	36%	46%	48%	45%	43%	35%	50%	43%	61%	38%	48%	51%	47%	54%	57%	52%
Parental System Relationship	8%	13%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	0%	2%	0%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Parent/Child Roles/Responsibilities	33%	27%	41%	35%	32%	37%	26%	29%	22%	47%	32%	50%	38%	33%	39%	28%	27%	30%
Number of Direct Contacts with Family																		
Rate of Successful Joining *****	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	86%	90%	82%	95%	97%	95%	98%	99%	97%	98%	99%	97%
6--10	11%	10%	13%	8%	7%	8%	8%	11%	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%	4%	7%	8%	7%	9%
11--20	20%	26%	13%	16%	20%	13%	25%	39%	11%	16%	14%	17%	14%	12%	14%	11%	14%	9%
More than 20	68%	64%	73%	77%	73%	79%	67%	50%	83%	77%	79%	77%	80%	84%	79%	81%	79%	82%
Progress Toward Treatment Goals																		
<i>Goal 1, FCS Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	27%	32%	22%	21%	21%	21%	34%	33%	35%	21%	22%	20%	20%	19%	20%	25%	23%	26%
Moderate Improvement	36%	34%	38%	35%	40%	32%	40%	39%	41%	29%	30%	29%	34%	44%	29%	32%	36%	29%
Slight Improvement	26%	24%	27%	28%	24%	31%	9%	17%	0%	24%	22%	24%	28%	21%	32%	30%	28%	31%
No Change	9%	8%	11%	13%	13%	13%	14%	11%	18%	20%	22%	19%	13%	13%	14%	13%	12%	13%
Problem Worsened	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	0%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	3%	5%	1%	1%	1%
<i>Goal 1, Family Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	39%	41%	38%	29%	30%	28%	45%	47%	43%	26%	24%	26%	29%	33%	27%	27%	26%	27%
Moderate Improvement	33%	31%	35%	31%	34%	30%	31%	33%	29%	24%	24%	24%	33%	44%	29%	37%	45%	29%
Slight Improvement	18%	20%	16%	26%	22%	28%	17%	13%	21%	29%	38%	26%	23%	8%	29%	23%	16%	28%
No Change	7%	6%	9%	11%	11%	10%	3%	7%	0%	15%	10%	16%	11%	14%	9%	13%	12%	13%
Problem Worsened	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	0%	7%	6%	5%	7%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%

Table 5B continued

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M
<i>Goal 2, FCS Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	25%	28%	21%	21%	23%	19%	24%	33%	13%	13%	21%	11%	19%	19%	19%	22%	25%	20%
Moderate Improvement	37%	38%	35%	33%	36%	31%	33%	28%	40%	32%	38%	30%	32%	40%	28%	35%	35%	35%
Slight Improvement	24%	21%	29%	28%	24%	30%	27%	28%	27%	26%	25%	26%	30%	24%	32%	26%	24%	27%
No Change	13%	12%	13%	16%	14%	18%	15%	11%	20%	25%	17%	27%	16%	14%	17%	15%	14%	15%
Problem Worsened	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	5%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%
<i>Goal 2, Family Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	34%	38%	29%	27%	27%	27%	43%	60%	23%	18%	16%	19%	25%	27%	24%	25%	22%	26%
Moderate Improvement	34%	27%	44%	31%	33%	29%	25%	13%	38%	34%	58%	29%	32%	37%	30%	35%	38%	32%
Slight Improvement	19%	23%	14%	26%	25%	26%	18%	13%	23%	17%	5%	20%	29%	24%	32%	24%	26%	23%
No Change	10%	10%	10%	14%	12%	15%	11%	13%	8%	25%	21%	26%	10%	11%	10%	14%	13%	16%
Problem Worsened	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	0%	8%	5%	0%	6%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%
<i>Goal 3, FCS Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	27%	28%	26%	23%	25%	22%	25%	31%	17%	15%	24%	13%	19%	25%	17%	26%	26%	26%
Moderate Improvement	37%	36%	39%	30%	31%	30%	32%	38%	25%	38%	29%	39%	32%	36%	31%	30%	34%	27%
Slight Improvement	25%	29%	21%	30%	29%	30%	32%	25%	42%	24%	35%	21%	29%	25%	31%	25%	23%	26%
No Change	10%	8%	13%	15%	15%	15%	11%	6%	17%	19%	12%	21%	16%	15%	16%	17%	16%	18%
Problem Worsened	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	6%	3%	0%	5%	3%	2%	4%
<i>Goal 3, Family Report</i>																		
Significant Improvement	41%	45%	36%	29%	33%	27%	44%	50%	36%	26%	25%	27%	30%	42%	25%	29%	33%	27%
Moderate Improvement	31%	26%	37%	30%	29%	30%	28%	29%	27%	28%	25%	28%	28%	29%	27%	34%	36%	32%
Slight Improvement	17%	22%	12%	23%	22%	24%	20%	14%	27%	21%	42%	17%	27%	18%	30%	19%	15%	21%
No Change	9%	7%	12%	14%	14%	14%	4%	7%	0%	21%	8%	23%	12%	11%	13%	15%	14%	15%
Problem Worsened	1%	0%	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	0%	9%	4%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	4%	2%	5%

Table 5B continued

	Abuse/Neglect			Emotional/Physical Problems			Infant Mental Health			Juvenile Delinquent			School Problems			Family Problems		
	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M	All	F	M
Positive Progress																		
<i>FCS Report</i>																		
Goal 1	89%	90%	87%	84%	84%	84%	83%	89%	76%	74%	74%	74%	82%	85%	81%	86%	88%	86%
Goal 2	86%	87%	85%	81%	83%	80%	85%	89%	80%	71%	83%	68%	80%	83%	79%	83%	84%	82%
Goal 3	90%	92%	86%	83%	84%	82%	89%	94%	83%	76%	88%	73%	81%	85%	80%	80%	82%	79%
All Goals	80%	83%	76%	72%	75%	70%	77%	83%	71%	58%	67%	55%	69%	72%	67%	73%	75%	72%
Positive Progress																		
<i>Family Report</i>																		
Goal 1	91%	92%	89%	86%	87%	86%	93%	93%	93%	79%	86%	77%	85%	84%	86%	86%	87%	85%
Goal 2	87%	88%	86%	84%	86%	82%	86%	87%	85%	70%	79%	68%	87%	87%	86%	83%	86%	81%
Goal 3	90%	93%	85%	82%	84%	81%	92%	93%	91%	75%	92%	72%	84%	89%	82%	81%	84%	80%
All Goals	82%	84%	78%	74%	77%	72%	83%	80%	86%	60%	71%	57%	75%	75%	74%	74%	76%	72%
Family Satisfaction																		
IFCS has improved our family life.																		
Strongly Agree	43%	44%	41%	28%	34%	25%	35%	41%	29%	25%	33%	22%	21%	33%	16%	29%	37%	23%
Agree	31%	32%	30%	46%	44%	47%	35%	41%	29%	48%	33%	52%	52%	42%	56%	50%	45%	53%
No Opinion	25%	24%	27%	24%	21%	25%	26%	18%	35%	24%	33%	21%	25%	25%	25%	21%	18%	23%
Disagree	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Strongly Disagree	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	6%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
*30 (2.5%) of FCT clients are dropped from this analysis due to missing observations on number of direct contacts.																		
** Of the 1086 Full participant FCT clients discharged in 2009, 94 (8.6%) of files are missing data on primary presenting problem and are thus not included in this analysis. Therefore, proportional means presented here are estimates based on a sample of 91.4% of FCT Full Participants.																		
***After eliminating families who were discharged early due to relocation, non-compliance with treatment, loss of funding, transfers to another agency, or client runaway status.																		
**** Means may sum to more than 100% because more than one status can be true (e.g., Maintained family placement and relocation).																		
*****Joining rates are calculated across those clients with data on number of direct contacts. This implicitly assumes there is no systematic relationship between missing data fields and number of direct contacts.																		